UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,907	11/14/2003	Ching-Hsun Chao	BHT-3167-164	7660
BRUCE H. TR	7590 02/13/2007 ROXELL:		EXAM	INER
SUITE 1404			TALBOT, BRIAN K	BRIAN K
5205 LEESBU FALLS CHUR	JRG PIKE RCH, VA 22041		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1762	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	02/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			//			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/706,907	CHAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian K. Talbot	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	'S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	ovember 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.	• ,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2003</u> is/a			•.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Sta	ge			
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 1762

1. Claims 1-19 remain in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

With respect to claim 9, the term "said first pattern" lacks antecedent basis. It appears that this claim should depend upon claim 7. Clarification is requested.

With respect to claims 10-16, the claims appear to be identical to claims 2-6. All of the limitations are identical in both sets of claims. Cancellation of duplicate claims is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The formation of the dielectric layer atop the cathode lines, forming the gate line on the dielectric layer and patterning the dielectric layer to form the opening for pixels is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,907 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted state of the art (specification, pg. 1-3) in combination with Park et al. (6,935,915) further in combination with Windischmann et al. (2003/0034721).

Applicant's admitted state of the art (specification, pg. 1–3) teaches the that it is well known to form CNT field emitting sources to from as three-electrode structure (triode) having cathode lines and gate lines separated by an insulating layer and patterning to form the pixel areas. The CNT's are placed on the pixel areas (and on exposed cathode lines if desired) by a

screen printing process. The cathode lines are applied by screen printing silver. The spacing and depth between the gate lines are 80 microns and 30 microns respectively.

Park et al. (6,935,915) teaches a method of fabricating field emission display employing carbon nanotubes. The field emission display comprises a cathode, a gate electrode, a gate insulating layer and via holes for subsequent CNT deposition. Stamping is utilized to place the CNT on the desired via holes (abstract).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Applicant's admitted state of the art (specification, pg. 1–3) screen printing process for forming CNT with the stamping process for forming CNT as evidence by Park et al. (6,935,915) with the expectation of achieving similar success with the benefits associated with such a process such as decreasing fabrication cost and ease of mass production as well as preventing undesired sinking and short circuiting of the CNT's.

Applicant's admitted state of the art (specification, pg. 1–3) in combination with Park et al. (6,935,915) fail to teach stamping the CNT's for producing the field emission display with a stamp having a negative mold.

Windischmann et al. (2003/0034721) teaches a method for improving field emission uniformity from a carbon-based array. The method includes forming a mold (20) have emitting areas (22), filling the emitting areas (22) with carbon based material (23). Removing the excess carbon material (23) leaving carbon emitter structures (24) in the mold (20) and transferring the emitter structures (24) onto a resistive layer (25) and backing layer (26) (col. 2 [0029] – [0034] and Figs. 1-5).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,907 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have modified Applicant's admitted state of the art (specification, pg. 1–3) in combination with Park et al. (6,935,915) stamping process by incorporating a stamp as evidenced by Windischmann et al. (2003/0034721) to apply the CNT to the respective positions with the expectation of achieving similar success as well as avoiding the need of the columns (15) of Park et al. (6,935,915) while maintaining the advantages associated with stamping the CNT over screen printing taught by Park et al. (6,935,915).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K. Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,907 Page 6

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian K Talbot
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1762

BKT